

## REMARKS


Claims 1-4, 6, 10-13, 15, 16, and 22-26 are rejected over combinations that include well known art. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner cite a reference in support of the holding of well known art. It is not believed that there is any basis for the assertion that doing what was claimed is well known. Namely, enabling control over future transmission patterns of the channel using the channel prediction terms as set forth, for example, in claim 1, is not believed to be part of the prior art. In other words, there is nothing of the type where future transmission patterns are controlled using channel prediction terms.

Certainly, this application has been pending with that claim language now for almost four years. It is believed that if this element was so well known, in the course of multiple searches that have been done in this case, it would have been found.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that a reference be cited in support of the holding of well known art.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 28, 2005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy M. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation